## TALKING PAPER FOR 2 APRIL USIB MEETING

SUBJECT: KEP

- Note that after many starts and stops some proposed guidance and instructions for evaluating community performance on the FY-75 KIQs has now been circulated.
- Remind the board that, of necessity, the whole KIQ/KEP process is an evolving one--with each iteration building on accumulated experience.
- Acknowledge the lateness of the year in getting out the instructions, but point out that ICS has worked with affected members of community, so instructions are no surprise.
- State your understanding that while performance data may not be terribly precise, you intend to look to the KEP to provide <u>insights</u> for use in resource deliberations.
- proposed instructions would be facilitated by a short briefing on the results of the FY-74 pilot program and the evolution of the process set out in the FY-75 instructions.

### KIQ/KEP PROCESS

KIQ -

- COMMUNICATE PRIORITY NEEDS

  ACT AS A DRIVING FORCE FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
- SERVE AS MEANS TO COMMUNICATE WITH CONSUMERS RE THEIR NEEDS

KEP -

- ASSESS AND MEASURE IC PERFORMANCE
- RELATE IC PERFORMANCE TO RESOURCES EXPENDED
- PROVIDE INSIGHTS FOR USE IN RESOURCE DELIBERATION
- ASSESS CONSUMER SATISFACTION

# UN LUNG

### PILOT PROGRAM

### DESIGN

- DETERMINE DEFICIENCIES (GAPS)
- IDENTIFY PRODUCTION AND COLLECTION
  ACTIVITIES WORKING AGAINST KIQS.
- Acquire production and collection costs
- DETERMINE DEGREE OF IC RESPONSIVENESS TO KIQ
- Assess relative contribution of sensors/
- LINK SUBSTANTIVE COVERAGE TO DOLLARS EXPENDED
- VALIDATE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CONCEPT
- GAIN EXPERIENCE FOR DESIGN OF A FY-75 PROCESS

### PILOT PROGRAM

### **PURPOSE**

- To TEST THE VALIDITY OF THE CONCEPT
- DETERMINE IF REQUESTED DATA OBTAINABLE
- DETERMINE LEVEL OF DETAIL NEEDED
- LEARN WAYS TO IMPROVE THE SYSTEM

### PILOT PROGRAM

### DETAILED RESULTS

- PRESENTED FOR THREE REASONS ONLY:
  - DEMONSTRATE THAT DATA WAS OBTAINED;
  - ILLUSTRATE TYPES OF INSIGHTS POSSIBLE;
  - ILLUSTRATE WAYS THE DATA CAN BE
    DISPLAYED AND USED.
- PILOT PROGRAM NOT INTENDED TO PRODUCE REAL WORLD INSIGHTS.
- KIQ'S NOT REPRESENTATIVE; NUMBERS
  IMPRECISE AND A SMALL SAMPLE.

### PILOT PROGRAM

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- CONCEPT VALID
- DATA OBTAINABLE
- NOT ALL THE DETAIL DATA REQUESTED REALLY NEEDED
- SIMPLIFICATION IN THE PROCESS POSSIBLE AND DESIRABLE

### PILOT PROGRAM KIOS

	····· NIO
"Soviet planning re Middle East/South Asia"	SOVIET UNION
"Soviet intentions RE ABM TREATY"	STRAT. PROG.
"Soviet intentions RE SAL Interim AGREEMENT"	STRAT. PROG.
"SIZE, ROLE AND ARMAMENT OF THE BACKFIRE"	STRAT. PROG.
"PRC LEADING CANDIDATES TO SUCCEED"	PRC
"PRODUCTION AND DEPLOYMENT OF THE CHINESE SSBN"	PRC
25X1X4  ARAB VIEWS ON PEACE SETTLE-  MENT ISSUES"	ME
25X6F	WE
"EVIDENCE OF EROSION IN SAIGON GOVERNMENT"	SEA
"PANAMA CANAL NEGOTIATIONS"	LA
"Positions of Foreign Petroleum Producers"	ECON

### Approved For Release 2000000630 DEFA CREERS 1500R000200110017-3

### KIQ

### PRC CANDIDATES

### CHINESE SSBN

### 25X1X4



### DEFICIENCIES

- 1. PRESENT FUNCTIONS OF TOP
  FOURTEEN
- 2. INTERRELATIONSHIPS WITH MAO
- 3. TOP FOURTEEN POWER BASES
- 4. Top Fourteen Policy
  RREFERENCES
- 5. PARTY-MILITARY GOVERNMENT RELATIONS
- 1. Types of submarines under construction
- 2. HAN CLASS PROPULSION SYSTEM
- 3. STATUS OF SLBM TEST PROGRAM
- 4. CHARACTERISTICS OF SSBN
  System
- 5. Role and Size of Missile Submarine Force
- 1. NSC COMMUNICATION
- 2. Decision making processes
- 3. SYRIAN POWER STRUCTURE
- 4. IRAQI AIMS AND INFLUENCE
- 5. SAUDI POLICY MAKING PROCESS

### FY 1974 KEP

### FINDINGS: INFORMATION GAINED

- INFORMATION GAINED ON NINE KIQS WAS CONSIDERABLE
  - •• IC ACQUIRED INFORMATION WHICH COMPLETELY OR SUBSTANTIALLY ANSWERED 1/2 OF THE DEFICIENCIES EVALUATED
  - A "SUBSTANTIAL" DEGREE OF FULFILLMENT RATING
    - SOVIET PLANNING M.E.
    - CHINESE SSBN
    - SAIGON GOVT.
    - PANAMA CANAL

### FOOTNOTE:

COMPLETE - DEFICIENCY ANSWERED, AND MAY BE REMOVED FROM LIST.

SUBSTANTIAL - DEFICIENCY CAN BE REWRITTEN TO INCLUDE PORTION ANSWERED.

MARGINAL - DEFICIENCY ANSWERED IN PART, BUT NOT ENOUGH TO REWRITE DEFICIENCY

None - No information gain

PERFORMANCE REPORT

WHAT WE LEARNED

WHAT WAS PRODUCED

פרווין ויייויי

ENCOUNTERED PROBLEMS

WHO COLLECTED I

LEVEL OF EFFORT

ENCOUNTERED PROBLEM

25X6A Approved For Release 2000/08/30 : CIA-RDP80B01500R000200110017-3 Next 7 Page(s) In Document Exempt

### PILOT PROGRAM CONCLUSIONS

- THE KEP CAN
  - COLLECTION AND PRODUCTION OBJECTIVES
  - **MEASURE IC PERFORMANCE**
  - ee LINK RESOURCES WITH SUBSTANCE
- THE PROCESS SHOWS THAT
  - OO A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF IC ACTIVITIES ARE KIQ RELATED
  - SS FY-74 DATA REQUIREMENTS AND NUMERICAL PRECISION CAN BE REDUCED
  - •• IC PERFORMANCE ON ALL FY-75 KIQS CAN BE ASSESSED AND MEASURED

# PERFORMANCE REPORT EVOLUTION

		SWITTED BENEVANCE REPORTING	
ROPOSED STRUCTURE	PROGRAM		
Performance		op	
Gai		rov	
tement of	arement or	/ed	
	e gain	d F	
icati	ŝ	OI	
or are	4		
- Explicit statement of		<b>)e</b> l	
ical gain	fulfillment	By KIQ (and Needs) a	
LILLIMent			
Assessment Afferences-		20	. 1
incertainties		000	
- Principal information		)/0	
deficiencies (old or new)		8/3	
at end of performance period		30	
- Principal analytical		: (	
deficiencies at end of		CIA	
performance period Relative Contribution	of	By KIQ (and Needs)	
PT 1	pui		
on Programs and	by KIQ ar	)P{	
by KIU and by	, 3	<b>30</b> )	
Prod	formance	Be	
Production Periormance Troquely Explicit pr	organi-	By program and Agency 4	
zation		6000	
ts by Deficiency	by Deficiency	and Needs/	
product - Explicit		to type/ times  for total WTO offord(&	Z
Effort by Deficiency - Level of	EILOIC by Deliciency	D2	
Collection	Performance	3.	
Explicat	41	By sensor, by KIQ (and Needs)	
(by CIRI	R.E.) of collectors	00	
(by CIKIS K.E.) Or COllectors by Deficiency			
collection effort - Impact of	KIQ collection effort	(accomplished by usin commune	r D
on total	collection program	Costs for total KIQ effort(& N	Z
eilclency		ams encountered	4
- Problems encountered User Satisfaction User Satisfaction	tion	NIO View of general satisfacts (major consumers by exception	<b>1</b>

# KEY INTELLIGENCE QUESTIONS EVALUATION PROGRAM FOR FY-75

### SECTION A: PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

AN EVALUATION BY NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE OFFICERS OF THE COMMUNITY'S PERFORMANCE AGAINST EACH KIQ AND THE CONTRIBUTION MADE BY EACH AGENCY/PROGRAM.

### SECTION B: PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE

PRESENTATION OF INFORMATION FROM PROGRAM MANAGERS ON COMMUNITY PRODUCTION AGAINST EACH KIQ.

### SECTION C: COLLECTION PERFORMANCE

PRESENTATION OF INFORMATION OBTAINED BY USIB COLLECTION COMMITTEES FROM PROGRAM MANAGERS ON COMMUNITY COLLECTION EFFORTS AGAINST EACH KIQ.